

Program Performance Measures
for
American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009
Justice Assistance Grant (ARRA) JAG
Grant Program

The following pages contain the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) program performance measures for the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Justice Assistance (**ARRA JAG**) Grant Program. They are reported in the Performance Measurement Tool (PMT). The performance measures are shown by activity area and are applicable for all purpose areas.

You should first select the purpose area that best describes the purpose or program area of your organization, and then all activities for that purpose area for which grant funds will be used. These activities are in your BJA-approved grant application. For example, if your purpose is Law Enforcement and in your grant application you proposed to create new positions, maintain a few positions, and purchase equipment, first select the purpose area Law Enforcement Program, and then the activity areas Personnel and Equipment/Supplies.

You are required to select and report on all performance measures that pertain to grant-funded activities. There is an N/A option that should ONLY be used if that measure is not applicable to your activities. If it is applicable and you do not have data to report for the reporting period, zero (0) is an acceptable value to report. It means that you carry out this activity but do not have data to report for the reporting period.

The reporting for the (ARRA) JAG performance measures are presented in two formats—numerical data and narrative information. The numerical data are reported for activities that occurred during the previous quarter, on a quarterly schedule in the PMT. The narrative information is reported once a year for the previous 12 months of activity (usually October 1 through September 30). The narrative information will be reported during the October submission period for the June–September reporting period. **This information is only reported at the Grantee level, not for subrecipients.**

(For BJA GRANTEES only) In addition to reporting data in the PMT, you are responsible for creating a report from the data entered—the *GMS report*. The PMT will guide you in creating this report, which must be submitted in the Grants Management System by November 14 of each year. You are encouraged to create a report after each quarterly reporting period for your records.

If you have any questions regarding the PMT or performance measures, please call the BJA Performance Tools help desk at 1-888/252-6867 or send an e-mail to BJAPMT@csrincorporated.com.

For (ARRA) JAG program questions, please contact your State Policy Advisor (SPA).

NOTE:

Direct service is defined as actual service with an individual or community and could take the form of mentoring, counseling, tutoring, or investigations. The resulting outcomes of this service delivery may be preventative, interventional, or rehabilitative in nature.

System improvement activities are efforts to make desired changes in overall practice, policy, or procedures through activities like hiring personnel, providing training or technical assistance, purchasing equipment/supplies or new information systems, or conducting research or evaluation.

*** Items in blue are noted as an update to the performance measures**

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Activity Area: State/Local Initiatives

State and local initiatives include activities that are planned for implementation of a new program to provide a direct service or to improve a criminal justice system by implementing a new process, procedure, or policy. Initiatives may improve a program, service, or system. They also may include the implementation of a new program to meet an identified need of a population. Planned activities also may include a campaign to counteract criminal activity in a variety of settings.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
1	Total number of new state initiatives planned (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the number of new state-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are funded wholly or partially by (ARRA) JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to implement programs that have a direct impact on a targeted population or use funding for system improvement activities. Report the total number of new state-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are planned for the project period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.	A. Total number of new state initiatives planned for the project period (life of the award).	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
2	Total number of new local initiatives planned (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the number of new locally-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are funded wholly or partially by (ARRA) JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use (ARRA) JAG funds to implement programs that have a direct impact on a targeted population or use funding for system improvement type activities. Report the	A. Total number of new local initiatives planned for the project period (life of the award)	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

Activity Area: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		total number of new locally-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are planned for the project period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.		
3	Total number of state initiatives maintained using (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the number of state initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are maintained wholly or partially by (ARRA) JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to maintain programs that have a direct impact on a targeted population or use funding for system improvement activities. Report the total number of state-initiated programs, services, or interagency task forces that are maintained for the project period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.	A. Total number of state initiatives maintained for the project period (life of the award)	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
4	Total number of local initiatives maintained using (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the number of locally-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are funded wholly or partially by (ARRA) JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use (ARRA) JAG funds to maintain programs that have a direct impact on a targeted population or use funding for system improvement activities. Report the total number of state-initiated programs,	A. Total number of local initiatives maintained for the project period (life of the award)	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

Activity Area: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		services, or interagency task forces that are maintained for the project period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.		
5	Number of program participants receiving services (Direct Service)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure service provision levels. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas in which (ARRA) JAG funds are used for direct services to individuals and/or groups of program participants. (e.g., crime prevention/intervention programs, one-to-one contact, support groups). Program participants may be defined by the population served by the program. Report the number of program participants who received services during the reporting period SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.	A. Number of program participants receiving services carried over from the previous reporting period B. Number of NEW program participants receiving services that were added during the reporting period C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Crime Victim and Witness
6	Number of defined groups receiving services (Direct Service)	SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #3 IS NOT REPORTED. The purpose of this output indicator is to measure service provision levels. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas in which (ARRA) JAG funds are used for direct services to groups of individuals (e.g., crime prevention awareness campaigns to schools, communities or organizations). A defined group includes schools, communities, organizations, agencies or departments. Report the number of communities or	A. Number of defined groups receiving services carried over from the previous reporting period B. Number of NEW defined groups added during the reporting period C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Crime Victim and Witness

Activity Area: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		organizations that received services during the reporting period. Community includes groups of people by organization, neighborhood or affiliation. Use measures with "defined groups" when the number of individuals can not be determined. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.		
7	Number of program slots offered through initiatives (Direct Service)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas in which (ARRA) JAG funds are used for direct services to individuals or programs offered to groups of individuals. Program slots are, for example, service delivery slots, programs, and campaigns. Report the number of program slots offered through initiatives as a result of (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. The number of slots available at the start of the reporting period reflects the number of slots that are available for use with funds from your current (ARRA) JAG award. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.	<p>A. Number of program slots available at the start of the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of NEW program slots created during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	<p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>Prosecution and Court</p> <p>Prevention and Education</p> <p>Corrections and Community Corrections</p> <p>Drug Treatment and Enforcement</p> <p>Crime Victim and Witness</p>
8	Number of local initiatives implemented (System Improvement)	The purpose of the outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of locally-initiated initiatives implemented. (e.g., programs, task force	<p>A. Number of local initiatives implemented during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Total number of local initiatives planned for the project period (Auto-filled by PMT from #2a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	<p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>Prosecution and Court</p> <p>Prevention and Education</p> <p>Corrections and Community Corrections</p> <p>Drug Treatment and Enforcement</p> <p>Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement</p> <p>Crime Victim and Witness</p>

Activity Area: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		operations) SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source		
9	Number of state initiatives implemented (System Improvement)	The purpose of the outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of state-initiated initiatives implemented. (e.g., programs, task force operations) SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of state initiatives implemented during the reporting period B. Total number of state initiatives planned (AUTO-fill from #1a) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
10	Number of program participants who completed programming (Direct Service, System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for purpose areas in which direct services are provided to individuals. Report the number of participants who completed services provided during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of program participants who completed programming during the reporting period B. Total number of program participants that exited programming during the reporting period (complete or not complete) C. Percent complete (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
11	Number of defined groups that completed programming (Direct Service, System Improvement)	SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #18 IS NOT REPORTED. The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for purpose areas in which direct services are provided to communities or defined groups (e.g., the Maple Street business association, the Main High School Parent/Teachers Organization, Orange County.) Public	A. Number of defined groups that completed programming during the reporting period B. Number of defined groups that exited programming during the reporting period (complete or not complete) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

Activity Area: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		awareness campaigns would fit under this category. Report the number of defined groups that completed programming provided during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source		
12	Cost savings (in man hours) as a result of new systems implemented (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure program cost savings in man hours. Appropriate for all purpose areas that provide direct service and are able to realize a cost savings as a result of implementing new systems. The period "prior to the initiative" represents the activities that occurred prior to the start of grant-funded activities. The cost of standard procedures before system changes were made provided in man hours. The period "after initiative" refers to the activities that occur with grant funds. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source	A. Number of man hours required prior to initiative B. Number of man hours required after initiative C. Savings (a-b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
13	Cost savings (in dollars) as a result of new systems implemented (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure program cost savings in dollars. Appropriate for all purpose areas that provide direct service and are able to realize a cost savings as a result of implementing new systems. The period "prior to the initiative" represents the activities that occurred prior to the start of grant-funded activities. The cost of standard procedures before system changes were made provided in dollars. The period "after initiative" refers	A. Dollars expended prior to initiative B. Dollars expended after initiative C. Savings (a-b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

Activity Area: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		to the activities that occur with grant funds. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source		

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Activity Area: Training

Training activities would include the provision of different types of training, the purchase of training services for or to staff or departments.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
14	Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used to provide training (System Improvement)	The purpose of the output indicator is to measure system accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used to provide training. Training may be delivered by the grantee or funds used to acquire training for staff. All training is paid with (ARRA) JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Amount of funds used to provide training during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
15	Number of training requests received (System Improvement)	The purpose of the output indicator is to measure service levels. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of requests received. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of training requests received during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
16	Number of staff trained (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff trained during the reporting period. (Training is paid for with (ARRA) JAG funds.) SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of staff trained during the reporting period B. Total number of staff needing training during the reporting period C. Total number of individuals on staff D. Percent trained (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT E. Percent of those needing training and were trained (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT F. Percent of staff that needed training (b/c) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

Activity Area: Training

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
17	Number of defined groups trained (System Improvement)	SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #14 IS NOT REPORTED. The purpose of this output indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. To train defined groups who are within a defined geographic region or discipline. Report the number of defined groups (i.e., communities/organizations/agencies) trained during the reporting period. (Training is paid with (ARRA) JAG funds.) Use measures with "defined groups" when the number is too large to determine the number of individuals. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of defined groups trained during the reporting period B. Total number of defined groups needing training during the reporting period C. Percent of those needing training and were trained (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
18	Number of training events held (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of training events by type held during the reporting period. Source: Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of training calls held during the reporting period B. Number of training conferences held during the reporting period C. Number of training manuals produced during the reporting period D. Other forms of training conducted during the reporting period E. Sum (a+b+c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
19	Percent of staff who completed training (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who completed training during the reporting period (Training is paid for with (ARRA) JAG funds.) Source: Event records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of staff who completed training during the reporting period B. Total number of staff who participated in training during the reporting period C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

Activity Area: Training

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
20	Percent of defined groups that completed training (System Improvement)	SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #17 IS NOT REPORTED. The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of defined groups (communities/organizations/agencies) that completed training during the reporting period (Training is paid with (ARRA) JAG funds.) Source: Event records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of defined groups who completed the training during the reporting period B. Total number of defined groups that participated in the training during the reporting period C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
21	Percent of staff who reported the training as useful (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who reported training as useful during the reporting period (Training is paid with (ARRA) JAG funds.) Source: Event evaluation is the preferred data source.	A. Number of staff trained who report training as useful during the reporting period B. Number of staff who completed training during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #19a) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
22	Percent of defined groups who reported the training as useful (System Improvement)	SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #19 IS NOT REPORTED. The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of defined groups (communities/organizations/agencies) who reported the training as useful during the reporting period (Training is paid with (ARRA) JAG funds.) Source: Event evaluation is the preferred data source.	A. Number of defined groups who reported the training as useful during the reporting period B. Number of defined groups trained during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #20a) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
23	Percent of staff who reported an increase in skills	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to determine effectiveness in criminal justice system operations. Appropriate for grantees in	A. Number of staff who reported an increase in skills during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement

Activity Area: Training

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
	(System Improvement)	purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who indicate an increase in skills during the reporting period (attributable to (ARRA) JAG funds.) Source: Pre/Post tests are the preferred data source.	B. Number of staff trained during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #19a) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
24	Percent of staff who reported an increase in knowledge (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to determine effectiveness in criminal justice system operations. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who reported an increase in knowledge during the reporting period (attributable to (ARRA) JAG funds.) Source: Pre/Post tests are the preferred data source.	A. Number of staff who reported an increase in knowledge during the reporting period B. Number of staff trained during the reporting period (Auto-filled from #19a) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

ACTIVITY AREA: Technical Assistance

This activity area includes the provision of technical assistance for staff.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
25	Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used to provide technical assistance (System Improvement)	The purpose of the output indicator is to measure system accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used to provide technical assistance. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used to provide technical assistance during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
26	Number of staff who received technical assistance (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who received technical assistance during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of staff who received technical assistance during the reporting period B. Total number of staff needing technical assistance C. Total number of individuals on staff D. Percent receiving technical assistance (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT E. Percent of those needing technical assistance and received (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT F. Percent of staff that needed technical assistance (b/c) Auto-calculated	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Technical Assistance

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
			by PMT	
27	Number of technical assistance requests received (System Improvement)	The purpose of the output indicator is to measure service levels. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of requests received. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number technical assistance requests received during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
28	Number of technical assistance events held (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of technical assistance events by type held during the reporting period. Source: Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of technical assistance events held by phone during the reporting period B. Number of technical assistance meetings held during the reporting period C. Number of technical assistance manuals produced during the reporting period D. Other forms of technical assistance delivery held during the reporting period E. Total (a+b+c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
29	Percent of staff who reported the technical assistance as useful (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure effectiveness. Appropriate for	A. Number of staff who reported technical assistance as useful during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology

ACTIVITY AREA: Technical Assistance

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
	Improvement)	grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who reported technical assistance as useful (paid with (ARRA) JAG funds). Source: Program records are the preferred data source.	B. Number of staff to request technical assistance during the reporting period C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
30	Percent of staff who reported an increase in skills (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to determine effectiveness in criminal justice system operations. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who reported an increase in skills during the reporting period (attributable to (ARRA) JAG funds.) Source: Pre/post tests are the preferred data source.	A. Number of staff who reported an increase in skills as a result of technical assistance received during the reporting period B. Number of staff to request technical assistance during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #29b) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
31	Percent of staff who reported an increase in knowledge (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to determine effectiveness in criminal justice system operations. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system	A. Number of staff who reported an increase in knowledge as a result of technical assistance received during the reporting period B. Number of staff to request technical assistance during the reporting	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Technical Assistance

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		improvement. Report the number of staff who reported an increase in knowledge during the reporting period (attributable to (ARRA) JAG funds.)	period (Auto-filled from #29b) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

ACTIVITY AREA: Personnel

Personnel activities may include the employment of new staff either through new recruitment activities or payment to existing staff for work over and beyond (overtime) the normal work period. The (ARRA) JAG grant program allows use of funds for retention of positions otherwise lost due to budget cutbacks.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
32	Number of new personnel paid with (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the extent of personnel hours paid with (ARRA) JAG funds (system capacity). Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of new personnel paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source	<p>A. Number of NEW personnel paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Total number of existing personnel paid by all OTHER (non-ARRA JAG) sources during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	<p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>Prosecution and Court</p> <p>Prevention and Education</p> <p>Corrections and Community Corrections</p> <p>Drug Treatment and Enforcement</p> <p>Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement</p> <p>Crime Victim and Witness</p>
33	Indicate the type of NEW personnel paid with (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Check all boxes applicable to the type of NEW personnel paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are	<p>A. Law Enforcement Personnel</p> <p>B. Prosecution and Court Personnel</p> <p>C. Prevention and Education Personnel</p> <p>D. Corrections and Community Corrections Personnel</p> <p>E. Drug Treatment and Enforcement Personnel</p> <p>F. Planning,</p>	<p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>Prosecution and Court</p> <p>Prevention and Education</p> <p>Corrections and Community Corrections</p> <p>Drug Treatment and Enforcement</p> <p>Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement</p> <p>Crime Victim and Witness</p>

ACTIVITY AREA: Personnel

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		preferred data source	Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Personnel G. Crime Victim and Witness Personnel	
34	Number of personnel retained with ARRA (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the extent of personnel retained as a result of ARRA (ARRA) JAG funds. This measure is only appropriate for the ARRA (ARRA) JAG because the ARRA (ARRA) JAG allows use of funds for retention of positions otherwise lost due to budget cutbacks. The intent of this measure is to capture the number of personnel retained each quarter for the life of the award. Report the number of personnel maintained with ARRA (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of personnel retained with ARRA (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period B. Total number of existing personnel paid by all OTHER (non-ARRA JAG) sources during the reporting period C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
35	Indicate the type of RETAINED personnel paid with ARRA (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas	A. Law Enforcement Personnel B. Prosecution and Court Personnel C. Prevention and	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Personnel

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. This measure is only appropriate for the (ARRA) JAG because the (ARRA) JAG allows use of funds for retention of positions otherwise lost due to budget cutbacks. Check all boxes applicable to the type of RETAINED personnel paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are the preferred data source.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Education Personnel D. Corrections and Community Corrections Personnel E. Drug Treatment and Enforcement Personnel F. Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Personnel G. Crime Victim and Witness Personnel 	
36	Number of overtime hours paid with (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of overtime hours paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. Report total hours of overtime paid by all OTHER (non-ARRA) JAG) sources. This is a total of hours from the agency represented in the grant application.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Number of overtime hours paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period B. Total number of hours of overtime paid by all OTHER (non-ARRA) JAG) sources during the reporting period C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by the PMT D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by the PMT 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Personnel

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		Source: Agency records are the preferred data source.		
37	Percent of departments that report desired efficiency (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure desired efficiency. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report desired efficiency as a result of new personnel or overtime paid with (ARRA) JAG funds. SOURCE: Agency records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of departments that report desired efficiency during the reporting period B. Total number of departments that used (ARRA) JAG funds to hire new personnel, maintain personnel or pay for overtime hours C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
38	Percent of departments that report desired program quality (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure increased program quality. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report desired program quality as a result of new personnel and overtime paid with (ARRA) JAG funds. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.	A. Number of departments that report desired program quality during the reporting period B. Total number of departments that used (ARRA) JAG funds to hire new personnel, maintain personnel or pay for overtime hours (Auto-filled from #37b) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

ACTIVITY AREA: Equipment/Supplies

Equipment and supplies includes the purchase of new or replacement equipment or supplies to improve or replace what currently exists.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
39	Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used to purchase equipment and/or supplies (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output measure is to document the extent of equipment and/or supplies purchased with (ARRA) JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees in all purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used to purchase equipment and/or supplies. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used to purchase equipment and/or supplies during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
40	Indicate the number by types of equipment and/or supplies purchased with (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number by types of equipment or supplies purchased with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period.	A. Weapons B. Equipment for police cruisers C. Uniforms D. CAD E. RMS F. Software G. Computers H. Mobile access equipment (ex. Aircards for Verizon, Sprint, AT&T, etc.) I. Security systems (station or evidence room)	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Equipment/Supplies

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	J. Biometric equipment (Live scans, fingerprint readers, etc.) K. In-car camera systems L. Video observation (station, community, pole cams) M. Undercover surveillance equipment (microphones , video) N. License plate readers	
41	Number of equipment and/or supply requests purchased with (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output measure is to document the extent of equipment and/or supplies purchased with (ARRA) JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees in all purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of equipment and/or supply requests received and of that, the number purchased with (ARRA) JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.	A. Number of equipment and/or supply requests purchased with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period B. Number of equipment and/or supply requests received C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
42	Percent of staff that directly benefit from equipment or supplies purchased by (ARRA) JAG	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure efficiency. Appropriate for grantees in	A. Number of staff that directly benefit from equipment or supplies purchased by	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Equipment/Supplies

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
	funds, who report a desired change in their job performance (System Improvement)	purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff that directly benefit from equipment or supplies purchased with (ARRA) JAG funds, who report a desired change in their job performance during this reporting period. SOURCE: This is a count of direct staff that benefit from the equipment and/or supplies purchased. Program records are preferred data source	(ARRA) JAG funds, who report a desired change in their job performance B. Number of staff to receive equipment or supplies purchased with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT D. Explain the impact on job performance for the reporting period	

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

ACTIVITY AREA: Contractual Support

Contractual support includes activities that address issues that help to improve the effectiveness and/or efficiency in various points of the criminal justice system. This may include consultants or evaluators, not otherwise captured in another activity area.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
43	Number of hours paid with (ARRA) JAG funds for contractual support (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure program/system capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of hours paid with (ARRA) JAG funds for contractual support during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are a preferred data source.	A. Number of hours paid with (ARRA) JAG funds for contractual support during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
44	Types of contractual support paid with (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the types of contract support paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are a preferred data source.	A. Indicate the types of contractual support paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Contractual Support

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
45	Number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome measure is to document improved efficiency. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency (e.g., reduced case backlogs, increased contacts with offenders or victims) as a result of (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are a preferred data source.	<p>A. Number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of departments that received contractual support with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Explain the impact on efficiency during the reporting period</p>	<p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>Prosecution and Court</p> <p>Prevention and Education</p> <p>Corrections and Community Corrections</p> <p>Drug Treatment and Enforcement</p> <p>Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement</p> <p>Crime Victim and Witness</p>
46	Number of departments that report a desired change in program quality (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome measure is to document improved program quality. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report a desired change in program quality (e.g., per staff caseloads meet professional standards, increased availability of specialized	<p>A. Number of departments that report a desired change in program quality during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of departments that received contractual support with (ARRA) JAG funds</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Explain the impact on program quality during the reporting period</p>	<p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>Prosecution and Court</p> <p>Prevention and Education</p> <p>Corrections and Community Corrections</p> <p>Drug Treatment and Enforcement</p> <p>Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement</p> <p>Crime Victim and Witness</p>

ACTIVITY AREA: Contractual Support

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		services) as a result of (ARRA) JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are a preferred data source.		

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

ACTIVITY AREA: Information Systems for Criminal Justice System

This activity area includes the development, implementation or improvements made to benefit staff or departments.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
47	Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used for improvements to information systems for criminal justice systems (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to improve system effectiveness and/or capacity. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used to improve information systems for criminal justice systems during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are a preferred data source.	A. Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used for improvements to information systems for criminal justice systems during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
48	Number of departments that used (ARRA) JAG funds to make improvements to information systems for criminal justice (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output measure is for system/program capacity based on the idea that new, enhanced or improved information systems can provide staff with better efficiency to do their jobs. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement	A. Number of departments that used (ARRA) JAG funds to make improvements to criminal justice information systems started in the previous period B. Number of NEW departments that use (ARRA) JAG funds to	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Information Systems for Criminal Justice System

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		activities. Report the number of departments that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to make improvements to information systems for criminal justice during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are a preferred data source.	make improvements to criminal justice information systems that were added during the reporting period C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT	
49	Number of departments that completed improvements to information systems for criminal justice (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output measure is for system accountability. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that completed improvements to criminal justice information systems during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are a preferred data source.	A. Number of departments that completed improvements to information systems for criminal justice during the reporting period as a result of (ARRA) JAG funds B. Number of departments to use (ARRA) JAG funds to make improvements to information systems for criminal justice (Auto-filled by PMT from #48c) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
50	Number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome measure is to document improved efficiency. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area	A. Number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Information Systems for Criminal Justice System

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		that uses (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency as a result of improved information systems for criminal justice systems as a result of (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are a preferred data source.	<p>B. Number of departments that completed improvements to information systems for criminal justice systems as a result of (ARRA) JAG funds (Auto-filled by PMT from #49a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Explain the impact on efficiency for the reporting period</p>	
51	Number of departments that report a desired change in program quality (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome measure is to document improved program quality. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report a desired change in program quality (e.g. per staff caseloads meet professional standards, increased availability of specialized services) as a result of (ARRA) JAG funds. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data	<p>A. Number of departments that report a desired change in program quality during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of departments that completed improvements to information systems for criminal justice systems during the reporting period (Auto-filled from #48c)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Explain the impact on program</p>	<p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>Prosecution and Court</p> <p>Prevention and Education</p> <p>Corrections and Community Corrections</p> <p>Drug Treatment and Enforcement</p> <p>Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement</p> <p>Crime Victim and Witness</p>

ACTIVITY AREA: Information Systems for Criminal Justice System

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		source	quality during the reporting period	

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

ACTIVITY AREA: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

This activity area includes research and evaluation activities that have a goal of informing decisions and providing information as to what works. Product development activities are those that have a goal to inform.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
52	Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used for criminal justice-related research studies (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge about what works in criminal justice. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related research studies. Report the total amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used for criminal justice-related research studies for the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source	A. Total amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used during the reporting period for criminal justice-related research studies	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
53	Provide the type of criminal justice-related research studies paid with (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the type of criminal justice-related research studies paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting	A. Provide the type of criminal justice-related research studies paid with (ARRA) JAG funds	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source		
54	Percent of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies completed (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to improve program effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related research studies. Report the number of criminal justice-related research studies completed during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	<p>A. Number of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies completed during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of criminal justice-related research studies planned</p> <p>C. Number of studies initiated during the reporting period</p> <p>D. Number of studies continued from the previous reporting period</p> <p>E. Percent complete (a/c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	<p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>Prosecution and Court</p> <p>Prevention and Education</p> <p>Corrections and Community Corrections</p> <p>Drug Treatment and Enforcement</p> <p>Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement</p> <p>Crime Victim and Witness</p>
55	Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used for criminal justice-related evaluations (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge about what works in criminal justice. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to implement evaluation activities. Report the total amount	A. Total amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used for criminal justice-related evaluations during the reporting period	<p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>Prosecution and Court</p> <p>Prevention and Education</p> <p>Corrections and Community Corrections</p> <p>Drug Treatment and Enforcement</p> <p>Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement</p> <p>Crime Victim and Witness</p>

ACTIVITY AREA: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		of (ARRA) JAG funds used for criminal justice-related evaluations for the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source		
56	Provide the type of criminal justice-related evaluations paid with (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the type of criminal justice-related evaluations paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Provide the type of criminal justice-related evaluations paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
57	Percent of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related evaluations completed (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to improve program effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related evaluations. Report the number of criminal justice-related evaluations completed during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of criminal justice-related evaluations completed during the reporting period B. Number of criminal justice-related evaluations planned C. Number of evaluations initiated during the reporting period D. Number of evaluations continued from the	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
			previous reporting period E. Percent complete (a/c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT	
58	Amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used for criminal justice-related product development projects (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge about what works in criminal justice. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related product development projects. For example, brochures, curricula, manuals or workbooks. Report the total amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used for criminal justice-related product development projects for the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source	A. Total amount of (ARRA) JAG funds used for criminal justice-related product development projects during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
59	Provide the type of criminal justice-related product development projects paid with (ARRA) JAG funds (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. For example, brochures,	A. Indicate the type of criminal justice-related product development projects paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		<p>curricula, manuals or workbooks. Provide the type of criminal justice-related product development projects paid with (ARRA) JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source</p>		
60	Percent of (ARRA) JAG funded product development projects completed (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the extent of criminal justice-related product development that is funded wholly or partially by (ARRA) JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related product development activities. For example, brochures, curricula, manuals or workbooks. Report the number of criminal justice-related product development projects completed during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source</p>	<p>A. Number of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related product development projects completed during the reporting period B. Number of criminal justice-related product development projects planned C. Number of product development projects initiated during the reporting period D. Number of product development projects continued from the previous reporting period E. Percent complete (a/c+d) Auto-calculated by</p>	<p>Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness</p>

ACTIVITY AREA: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
			PMT	
61	Percent of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies or evaluations resulting in presentations or poster sessions at professional meetings or conferences (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve knowledge dissemination. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related research or evaluations . Report the number of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related research or evaluations resulting in a presentation or poster session at professional meetings or conferences during the reporting period SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of (ARRA) JAG funded research studies or evaluations that resulted in one or more presentations or poster sessions at professional meetings or conferences during the reporting period B. Number of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies completed during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #54a) C. Number of evaluations completed (Auto-filled by PMT from #57a) D. Percent a/(b+c) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
62	Percent of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated as effective and the evaluations were (ARRA) JAG funded . (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve tools for knowledge dissemination. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement.	A. Number of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated as effective during the reporting period B. Total number of criminal	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		Report the number of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated as effective. The evaluation was paid with (ARRA) JAG funds. Report the number of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated as effective during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	justice-related programs that were evaluated with (ARRA) JAG funds C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	
63	Percent of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies or evaluations resulting in peer-reviewed articles (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve knowledge dissemination. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use (ARRA) JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related research studies or evaluations . Report the number of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies or evaluation projects resulting in peer-reviewed articles during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies or evaluation projects resulting in peer-reviewed articles during the reporting period B. Number of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies completed during the reporting period (Auto-filled from #54a) C. Number of evaluations completed (Auto-filled from #57a)	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
			D. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT E. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT	
64	Percent of (ARRA) JAG funded programs that have implemented recommendations based on a program evaluation (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve program effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees under any program area that uses (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of criminal justice programs that have implemented one or more recommendations from a program evaluation. The program evaluation was funded by (ARRA) JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source	A. Number of (ARRA) JAG funded criminal justice programs that have implemented one or more recommendations based on a program evaluation during the reporting period B. Total number of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated with (ARRA) JAG funds (Auto-filled from #62b) C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness
65	Percent of curricula that was evaluated as effective and evaluation was paid with (ARRA) JAG funds. (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve knowledge dissemination. Appropriate for grantees under any program area that use (ARRA) JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of curricula that was evaluated as	A. Number of curricula that was evaluated as effective during the reporting period and evaluations were paid with (ARRA) JAG funds B. Total number of curricula evaluated during the reporting	Law Enforcement Prosecution and Court Prevention and Education Corrections and Community Corrections Drug Treatment and Enforcement Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Crime Victim and Witness

ACTIVITY AREA: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Applies to Purpose Areas
		<p>effective during the reporting period. The evaluation was paid with (ARRA) JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source</p>	<p>period C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Task Force Activities

This activity area applies to grantees that will utilize (ARRA) JAG funds to cover task force activities not otherwise captured in other activity areas. Activities may include those that help to decrease violent crime.

#	Measure	Definition	Reporting Format
66	Number of new investigations initiated		A. Total number of new investigations initiated
67	Number of judicial search warrants served		A. Federal B. State C. Total (a+b) (Auto calculated by PMT)
68	Total number of individuals (including gang members) arrested based on task force activity during the reporting period.	For all arrests (including gang members) with multiple charges count the single most serious charge here.	A. Number arrested for a felony B. Number arrested for a misdemeanor
69	Total number of GANG MEMBERS ONLY arrested based on task force activity during the reporting period	For arrests of GANG MEMBERS with multiple charges count the single most serious charge here.	A. Number of GANG MEMBERS arrested for a felony. B. Number of GANG MEMBERS arrested for a misdemeanor.
70	Drug amounts seized in kilograms (KG) during the reporting period. Remember that 1000g = 1 kg. Answer should be provided in kg.	Drug seizure values will be calculated by the tool based on values provided by BJA. You may verify your response through a number of sites available through the internet. You may search using the "conversion of grams to kilograms."	A. Heroin B. Cocaine (powder) C. Crack cocaine D. Marijuana commercial grade E. Marijuana hydroponic F. Methamphetamine G. Methamphetamine ice H. Ecstasy (MDMA)
71	Drug amounts, in kilograms (kg), seized in clandestine drug labs during the reporting period. Remember that 1000g = 1kg. Answer should be reported in kg.	Drug seizure values will be calculated by the tool based on values provided by BJA. You may verify your response through a number of sites available through the internet. You may search using the "conversion of grams to kilograms."	A. Heroin B. Cocaine (powder) C. Crack cocaine D. Marijuana commercial grade E. Marijuana hydroponic F. Methamphetamine G. Methamphetamine ice H. Ecstasy (MDMA)
72	Other Seizures		A. Number of indoor cannabis-growing operations seized B. Number of outdoor cannabis-growing operations seized C. Number of cultivated marijuana plants seized
73	Number of firearms seized during		A. Number of firearms seized

#	Measure	Definition	Reporting Format
	the reporting period		B. Number of firearms seized that were reported to NIBIN C. Number of hits in NIBIN
74	Number of Federal forfeiture cases filed during the reporting period		A. Number of forfeiture cases filed
75	Value of assets forfeited under Federal cases during the reporting period		A. Real property forfeited B. Cash forfeited C. Other (vehicles, weapons, jewelry) forfeited
76	Number of State forfeiture cases filed during the reporting period		A. Number of forfeiture cases filed
77	Value of assets forfeited under State cases during the reporting period		A. Real property forfeited B. Cash forfeited C. Other (vehicles, weapons, jewelry) forfeited
78	Number of defendants accepted for Federal prosecution during the reporting period	Provide the number of defendants that were accepted for federal prosecution for federal felony charges and misdemeanor charges.	A. Number accepted for Federal felony charges. B. Number accepted for Federal misdemeanor charges.
79	Number of defendants accepted for State prosecution during the reporting period	Provide the number of defendants that were documented criminal gang members that were accepted for state prosecution for felony charges and misdemeanor charges.	A. Number accepted for State felony charges. B. Number accepted for State misdemeanor charges.
80	Number of gangs disrupted during the reporting period	Disrupted is defined as impeding the normal and effective operation of the targeted organization, as indicated by changes in organizational leadership and/or changes in methods of operation, including, for example, financing, trafficking patterns, communications, or drug production.	A. Number of disrupted drug trafficking or other street gang organizations B. Number of disrupted trafficking or other entrepreneurial gangs C. Total number of gangs disrupted (a+b) (auto calculated by tool)
81	Number of gangs dismantled during the reporting period	Dismantled: Destruction of the organization's leadership, financial base, and supply network such that the organization is incapable of operating and/or reconstituting itself.	A. Number of dismantled drug trafficking or other street gang organizations B. Number of dismantled trafficking or other entrepreneurial gangs C. Total number of gangs dismantled (a+b (auto calculated by tool))
82	Number of drug trafficking organizations and money laundering organizations disrupted	Measure is intended for projects that utilize (ARRA) JAG funds to support activities that will disrupt the market place for illegal drugs	A. Number of drug trafficking organizations disrupted during the reporting period B. Number of money laundering

#	Measure	Definition	Reporting Format
		<p>by dismantling and disrupting the most significant drug trafficking organizations and money laundering organizations that support them. Disrupted: Impeding the normal and effective operation of the targeted organization, as indicated by changes in organizational leadership and/or changes in methods of operation, for example, financing, trafficking patterns, communications or drug production. SOURCE: Program records</p>	<p>organizations disrupted during the reporting period C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>
83	<p>Number of drug trafficking organizations and money laundering organizations dismantled</p>	<p>Measure is intended for projects that utilize (ARRA) JAG funds to support activities that will disrupt the market place for illegal drugs by dismantling and disrupting the most significant drug trafficking organizations and money laundering organizations that support them. Dismantled: Destruction of the organizations leadership, financial base and supply network such that the organization is incapable of operating and/or reconstituting itself. SOURCE: Program records</p>	<p>A. Number of drug trafficking organizations dismantled during the reporting period B. Number of money laundering organizations dismantled during the reporting period C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES
Outcomes for All Activity Areas
(As they apply to grant-funded activities)

#	Measure	Definition	Reporting Format
84	Change in number of individuals arrested in a targeted group by crime type	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure rates of individuals arrested in a targeted group by crime type. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that provide direct service to individuals with (ARRA) JAG funds. Report the number of individuals arrested for a targeted group by crime type. For the first reporting period, the "a" value reflects available data for the quarter prior to the start of grant-funded activities. For subsequent reporting periods, the "a" value will reflect the number of individuals arrested during the quarter before the start of the award. Population numbers will vary based on target population/sub-population of the program/initiative Crime types are identified by the target of grant-funded activity. SOURCE: Program records	<p>A. The number of individuals (by related crime) arrested during the quarter before the start of the award</p> <p>B. Total number of individuals arrested (by related crime) during the reporting period</p> <p>C. We expected number of individuals arrested to increase as a result of our efforts We expected number of individuals arrested to decrease as a result of our efforts. We expected number of individuals arrested to remain stable (no change) as a result of our efforts. We had no expectations about changes in number of individuals arrested of crime as a result of our efforts. Not applicable for this reporting period</p>
85	Change in reported crime rates in a community by crime type	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure rates of related crimes in a targeted community. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that provide direct service to communities or organizations with (ARRA) JAG funds. Report the number of related crimes reported during the reporting period. Population numbers will vary based on target populations/sub-population of the program/initiative. The "a" value reflects the quarter prior to the start of the award. This measure is intended to collect rates of crime targeted by (ARRA) JAG award. Crime types are identified by the target of grant-funded activity. SOURCE: Program records	<p>A. Number of reported crimes (targeted by (ARRA) JAG funds) during the quarter before the start of the award</p> <p>B. Total number of reported crimes (targeted by (ARRA) JAG funds) during the period</p> <p>C. We expected the crime rate to increase as a result of our efforts We expected the crime rate to decrease as a result of our efforts We expected the crime rate to remain stable (no change) as a result of our efforts We had no expectations about the crime rate as a result of our efforts Not applicable for this reporting period</p>
86	Type of crime	Provide the type of crime targeted	<p>A. Homicides</p> <p>B. Forcible Rapes</p> <p>C. Robberies</p> <p>D. Aggravated Assaults</p> <p>E. Other, please define.</p>

(ARRA) JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Narrative Questions

#	Measure	Definition	Info Grantee Provides
1	What were your accomplishments within this reporting period?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
2	What goals were accomplished, as they relate to your grant application?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
3	What problems/barriers did you encounter, if any, within the reporting period that prevented you from reaching your goals or milestones?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
4	Is there any assistance that BJA can provide to address any problems/barriers identified in question #3 above?		A. Yes/ No B. If yes, please explain.
5	Are you on track to fiscally and programmatically complete your program as outlined in your grant application? (Please answer YES or NO and if no, please explain.)		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
6	What major activities are planned for the next 6 months?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
7	Based on your knowledge of the criminal justice field, are there any innovative programs/accomplishments that you would like to share with BJA?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)